## 54. The ESR. Spectrum of the Radical Anion of 1, 1, 2, 2, 9, 9, 10, 10-Octafluoro [2.2]paracyclophane

by Fabian Gerson, Hiroaki Ohya-Nishiguchi<sup>1</sup>) and Georges Plattner

Physikalisch-Chemisches Institut der Universität Basel, Klingelbergstrasse 80, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland

(28.XII.81)

## Summary

The radical anion of 1, 1, 2, 2, 9, 9, 10, 10-octafluoro [2.2]paracyclophane (1) has been generated by electrolytic reduction of 1 in 1, 2-dimethoxyethane (tetrabutylammonium perchlorate as the supporting salt). The hyperfine coupling constants of the eight <sup>19</sup>F-nuclei and the eight protons,  $a_F = 3.35$  and  $a_H \approx 0.10$  mT, are qualitatively reproduced by INDO. calculations. According to these calculations, the singly occupied orbital of  $1^{\oplus}$  can be represented by an  $A_g$ -combination of two 'symmetric' benzene LUMO's, with a substantial transfer of spin population into the 2s-AO's of the F-atoms. Line-width alternation in the ESR. spectrum of  $1^{\oplus}$  indicates an ion pairing of the radical anion with its counter-ion  $Bu_4N^{\oplus}$ . The energy barrier to the migration of the cation between two equivalent sites at the radical anion is determined as  $14 \pm 2$  kJ/mol.

**Introduction.** – Although the 1,1,2,2,9,9,10,10-octafluoro [2.2]paracyclophane (1) was synthesized more than 10 years ago [1], its radical anion has not yet been characterized by ESR. spectroscopy. This fact might be due to the difficulty of generating  $1^{\ominus}$  in detectable concentration, because of the low persistence of this radical anion in solution. *Duke et al.* [2] have performed closed-shell CNDO. calculations on 1, as well as on the parent [2.2]paracyclophane (2). Their results indicate that the lowest unoccupied orbital (LUMO) of 2 can be considered as the bonding combination,  $B_{1g}$ , of the 'antisymmetric' benzene MO's  $\psi_A^{(1)}$  and  $\psi_A^{(2)}$ . This statement is in agreement with a previous interpretation of the ESR. spectrum of  $2^{\ominus}$  [3]. For the octafluoro-derivative 1, the CNDO. calculations [2] suggest that its LUMO should resemble the bonding combination,  $A_g$ , of the 'symmetric' benzene MO's  $\psi_S^{(1)}$  and  $\psi_S^{(2)}$  (*Fig. 1*). The present paper, which reports on the ESR. spectrum of  $1^{\ominus}$ , verifies this prediction.

**Results.** – The radical anion  $1^{\ominus}$  was prepared by a continuous electrolysis of 1 in 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) with tetrabutylammonium perchlorate as the supporting salt. The electrolytic cell used in this experiment has been described else-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) Present address: Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan.



Fig. 1. Lowest unoccupied orbitals (LUMO's) of 1, 1, 2, 2, 9, 9, 10, 10-octafluoro [2.2]paracyclophane (1) and [2.2]paracyclophane (2) represented as combinations of benzene MO's

where [4]. The voltage required for the appearance of the ESR. spectrum of  $1^{\ominus}$  was considerably less negative than in the case of  $2^{\ominus}$  generated under the same experimental conditions [5], thus pointing to a substantially greater ease of reduction of the octafluoro-derivative 1, as compared with the parent hydrocarbon  $2^2$ ). In view of the low persistence of  $1^{\ominus}$ , rather concentrated solutions of 1 (*ca.*  $10^{-2}$  mol/dm<sup>3</sup>) and temperatures below 200 K were essential for producing a measurable steady concentration of  $1^{\ominus}$ . Moreover, in order to enhance the intensity of the spectrum, high modulation amplitudes (0.05 to 0.1 mT) had to be applied to the ESR. signals.

Figure 2 shows the ESR. spectrum of  $1^{\ominus}$  at 188 K. The observed hyperfine pattern, nine components spaced by  $3.35 \pm 0.01$  mT, is attributed to the eight <sup>19</sup>F-nuclei, since protons bound to aromatic rings are unlikely to give rise to splittings of such magnitude. Computer-assisted analysis of the line-widths and the line-shapes leads to the following conclusions: 1) the unresolved hyperfine splittings from the eight protons are  $0.10\pm0.02$  mT. Together with the unresolved second order splittings from the <sup>19</sup>F-nuclei, they account for the inhomogeneous broadening of ca. 0.3 mT. The effect of the second-order splittings is particularly evident in the shape of the most intense component  $[M_1({}^{19}F)=0]$  which exhibits a pronounced asymmetry about its centre (Fig. 2); 2) the inherent line-widths of ca. 0.1 mT arise from homogenous broadening by the use of high modulation amplitude and, presumably, by g-anisotropy. In contrast, the <sup>19</sup>F-hyperfine anisotropy appears not to be of major importance; 3) there is a marked alternation in the line-widths, as the components with odd spin quantum numbers  $[M_1({}^{19}F) = \pm 1 \text{ and } \pm 3]$  are considerably broader than those with the even ones  $[M_1(^{19}F)=0, \pm 2 \text{ and } \pm 4]$  (Fig. 2). This finding, which points to a time-dependent phenomenon, can be rationalized by a two-jump model involving a mutual exchange of the coupling constants,  $a_{\rm F}^{(1)}$  and  $a_{\rm F}^{(2)}$ , between two sets of four equivalent <sup>19</sup>F-nuclei.

In the fast exchange limit, which holds for  $1^{\ominus}$  in the investigated temperature range of T = 178 to 193 K, the rate k of exchange is given by

$$\mathbf{k} = \frac{(\gamma \, \Delta \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{F}})^2}{8 \, \gamma \, \Delta \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{exch}}} \quad \text{or} \quad \ln \, \mathbf{k} = 2 \ln \left(\gamma \, \Delta \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{F}}\right) - \ln \left(8 \, \gamma \, \Delta \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{exch}}\right) \tag{1}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>) The greater ease of reduction of 1 relative to 2 was confirmed by cyclic voltammetry [6].



Fig. 2. ESR. spectrum of the radical anion of 1, 1, 2, 2, 9, 9, 10, 10-octafluoro [2.2]paracyclophane (1) (Solvent DME. Counter-ion Bu₄N<sup>⊕</sup>. Temperature 188 K). The signs of the spin quantum numbers M<sub>1</sub> (<sup>19</sup>F) are consistent with the positive sign of the spin populations at the F-atoms.

where  $\Delta a_F = a_F^{(1)} - a_F^{(2)}$ , in mT. The symbol  $\Delta B_{exch}$ , in mT, stands for line-broadening due to the exchange, and  $\gamma = 1.76 \cdot 10^8 \text{ rad/(mT s)}$  is the magnetogyric ratio which converts mT in rad/s. Assuming  $\Delta a_F$  to be temperature independent, one obtains *Equation 2* for the slope of the *Arrhenius* plot. *Equation 2* thus allows one

$$\frac{d\ln k}{d(1/T)} = -\frac{d\ln(8\gamma \, \Delta B_{\text{exch}})}{d(1/T)}$$
(2)

to calculate the activation energy  $E_a$  without knowing the individual coupling constants  $a_F^{(1)}$  and  $a_F^{(2)}$ , of which only their average, 3.35 mT, is experimentally available. The  $E_a$ -value, derived in this way from computer simulations [7] of the exchange-broadened ESR. spectra at 178, 183, 188 and 193 K, amounts to  $14\pm 2$  kJ/mol.

Discussion. - Spin distribution. In order to corroborate our ESR. spectroscopic results, we have carried out open-shell INDO. calculations on  $1^{\ominus}$  with the use of the standard energy parameters for the C-, H- and F-valence AO's [8]. The coordinates of C- and F-atoms were those determined by X-ray crystallographic structure analysis [9]. The C–H bonds were placed on the lines bisecting the C–C–C angles, and their length was taken as 105 pm. A correspondence has been noted with the previous closed-shell CNDO, calculations on 1 [2] in that the singly occupied orbital of  $1^{\ominus}$  in the INDO. model has the same nodal properties as the LUMO of 1 in the CNDO. model, *i.e.*, it can likewise be regarded as an Ag-combination of the benzene MO's  $\psi_{\rm S}^{(1)}$  and  $\psi_{\rm S}^{(2)}$  (see Introduction and Fig. 1). The <sup>19</sup>F- and <sup>1</sup>H-coupling constants calculated by the INDO. method are +7.07 and +0.27 mT, respectively. Although they are in only qualitative agreement with the observed values, comparison between theory and experiment leaves no doubt that the assignment,  $a_F = 3.35$ and  $a_H \approx 0.10$  mT, is correct. According to the INDO. calculations, the large value of a<sub>F</sub> is mainly due to a substantial spin delocalization from the 2p<sub>z</sub>-AO's of the bridged C-atoms, bearing high  $\pi$ -spin populations (Fig. 1), to the fluorine 2s-AO's. The essential s-character of these spin densities at the F-atoms accounts for the lack of a marked <sup>19</sup>F-hyperfine anisotropy (see *Results*).

Ion pairing. The perturbation, which lowers the symmetry of  $1^{\ominus}$  from  $D_{2h}$  to probably  $C_{2v}$  and splits the set of eight equivalent <sup>19</sup>F-nuclei into two sets of four, is thought to be caused by an association of the counter-ion  $Bu_4N^{\oplus}$  with  $1^{\ominus}$  in DME-solution. An association of this kind has been amply investigated for the radical anion  $2^{\ominus}$ , of [2.2]paracyclophane and the counter-ion  $K^{\oplus}$  in ethereal solvents [3][10], and the position of the cation in the ion pair  $2^{\ominus}/K^{\oplus}$  was shown to be above (or below) the centre of one of the benzene rings [11]. It is reasonable to assume that the ion pair  $1^{\ominus}/Bu_4N^{\oplus}$  will have a similar structure, but that the association of the radical anion with tetrabutylammonium will be less tight than with an alkali metal cation. The observed activation energy  $E_a = 14$  kJ/mol, which is presumably determined by a barrier to migration of the counter-ion between two equivalent sites at the radical anion, seems compatible with such an association.

It is noteworthy that the ESR. spectrum of  $2^{\ominus}$  does not exhibit line-width alternation, when 2 is reduced electrolytically under the same conditions as 1 [5]. This behaviour, contrasting with that of  $1^{\ominus}$ , is readily understood in terms of Equation 1 which states that, all other things being equal, the line-broadening  $\Delta B_{exch}$  should be proportional to the square of the difference in the coupling constants affected by the exchange. For  $1^{\ominus}$ , such a relationship is given by Equation 3, where the difference  $\Delta a_F = a_F^{(1)} - a_F^{(2)}$  must be of the order 1 mT, as estimated from the  $a_F$  value

$$\gamma \, \varDelta \mathbf{B}_{\text{exch}} = \frac{1}{8\,\text{k}} \, (\gamma \, \varDelta \mathbf{a}_{\text{F}})^2 \tag{3}$$

of 3.35 mT and by analogy to structurally related ion pairs [10][11]. Considering the small magnitude of the coupling constant of the ring protons  $(a_H \approx 0.1 \text{ mT})$ , the corresponding contribution of  $\Delta a_H = a_H^{(1)} - a_H^{(2)}$  to  $\Delta B_{exch}$  can be safely neglected. Turning to  $2^{\Theta}$ , one finds that the  $\Delta a_H$ -values for the ring and methylene protons in

the ion pairs of this radical anion are of the order of 0.1 mT [3] [11], and thus the line-broadening,  $\Delta B_{exch}$  is expected to be by a factor 10<sup>2</sup> smaller for  $2^{\ominus}$  than for  $1^{\ominus}$ .

This work was supported by the Schweizerischer Nationalfonds zur Förderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung. Financial assistance by Ciba-Geigy SA, Sandoz SA and F. Hoffmann-La Roche & Cie SA is likewise acknowledged. We also thank Dr. S. W. Chow, Union Carbide, Bound Brook, New Jersey, for a generous gift of a sample of 1 and Drs. J. Bruhin and W. B. Martin, Jr., for carrying out some experiments on 1.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. W. Chow, L.A. Pilato & W.L. Wheelwright, J. Org. Chem. 35, 20 (1970).
- [2] C. B. Duke, N. O. Lipari, W. R. Salaneck & L. B. Schein, J. Chem. Phys. 63, 1758 (1975).
- [3] F. Gerson & W.B. Martin, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 91, 1883 (1969).
- [4] H. Ohya-Nishiguchi, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 52, 2064 (1979).
- [5] F. Gerson, H. Ohya-Nishiguchi & Ch. Wydler, Angew. Chem. 88, 617 (1976); Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 15, 552 (1976).
- [6] A. Metzger, unpublished results.
- [7] J. Heinzer, J. Magn. Reson. 13, 124 (1974).
- [8] J.A. Pople & D.L. Beveridge, 'Approximate Molecular Orbital Theory', McGraw-Hill, New York 1970.
- [9] H. Hope, J. Bernstein & K.N. Trueblood, Acta Crystallogr. B 28, 1733 (1972).
- [10] F. Gerson, W.B. Martin, Jr., & Ch. Wydler, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98, 1318 (1976); see also: F. Gerson, J. Lopez & V. Boekelheide, J. Chem. Soc. Perk. II, 1981, 1298.
- [11] F. Gerson, W.B. Martin, Jr., & Ch. Wydler, Helv. Chim. Acta 59, 1365 (1976).